

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 STEVEN ANTHONY GUZMAN,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-0700 FCD GGH P

12 vs.

13 A. LAMARQUE, Warden

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On March 31, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. In a reply, respondent relies on prior
23 briefing and the analysis of the Findings and Recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and

1 by proper analysis.


2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 31, 2009, are adopted in full;

4 and

5 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

6 DATED: April 24, 2009.

7 
8 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26